



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,473		03/01/2004	Mark R. Bunker	SBCK 0101 PUS (SW 1001)	9933	
22045	7590	09/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROOKS			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J			
TWENTY-			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTHFI	ELD, MI	48075	2642			
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
		10/790,4	173	BUNKER, MARK	R.					
1	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit						
		William .		2642						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ldress					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT vent, however, may a reply b will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to optication to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this c DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,					
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01 March 200</i> 4	4.							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the n										
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🗌	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u> .		Paper No(s)/Ma		O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,473

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0167946 (Gallant).

With respect to claims 1, 12 and Gallant teaches a system for restricting telephone calls from a facility having a facility phone (see paragraph 0011), a switching office (Fig. 1), a destination phone (Fig. 1), note that the call is disabled (Abstract Figs. 3A and 3B), note that the call is disabled based on the facility (paragraph 0058, 0089 – 0092, 0096 – 0097, 0108 and Figs. 6 – 8. Though not explicitly saying serial, such is believed to be inherent. If not, such would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as connecting devices in serial or parallel is old and well-known in the art.

With respect to claims 2, 13 and though three-way calling is not mentioned, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included three-way calling as such would only entail including or substituting one well-known feature for another.

With respect to claims 3 and 14, note Abstract.

With respect to claims 4 and 15, such is obvious in view of the above.

With respect to claims 5 - 6, 16 and 17, note paragraphs 0027 - 0028.

Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claims 7 - 9, 18 - 20, in light of Gallant, it would have been obvious to use 1 or 2 control signal depending on where the proxy server and or location server is placed. With respect to a processor, note Fig. 9

With respect to claims 10 and 21, note Fig. 6

With respect to claims 11 and 22, note paragraph 0011.

With respect to claims 23 – 24, such is obvious in view of the above and a fair reading of Gallant.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see the Abstracts and Figs. of the Patents and Patent Applications cited on the enclosed 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273 - 8300.

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER